

REMARKS

Amendment to the Specification

The specification was amended to remove reference to an embedded hyperlink. Applicant believes that no new matter was added to the application.

The Rejection of Claims 11-17, 29-32, and 34-37 Under The Judicially Created Doctrine of Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

Claims 11-17, 29-32, and 34-37 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent 6,303,301.

Applicant files herewith a Terminal Disclaimer over U.S. Patent 6,303,301. Withdrawal of the rejection is requested.

The Rejection of Claims 11-17 Under The Judicially Created Doctrine of Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

Claims 11-17 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent 6,177,248 and claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent 6,258,536.


Applicant files herewith a Terminal Disclaimer over U.S. Patent 6,177,248 and U.S. Patent 6,258,536. Withdrawal of the rejection is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: 5.20.03

By:

  
Sarah A. Kagan  
Reg. No. 32,141

Banner & Witcoff Ltd.  
Customer No. 22907